

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON**

MICHELLE T.1,
Plaintiff(s),

v.

Case No. 3:23-cv-79
(Litkovitz, MJ ; Consent Case)

Commissioner of Social Security,
Defendant(s).

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

☐ **JURY VERDICT:** This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the Jury has rendered its verdict.

☒ **DECISION BY COURT:** This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: ORDER granting the parties [8] Joint Stipulation to remand this matter to the Appeals Council Administrative Law Judge for further proceedings and the claim will be completely reevaluated starting at the beginning of the sequential evaluation, including offering Plaintiff a new hearing. (See Doc. 9).

Date: May 17, 2023

1 The Committee on Court Administration and Case Management of the Judicial Conference of the United States has recommended that, due to significant privacy concerns in social security cases, federal courts should refer to claimants only by their first names and last initials.

Richard W. Nagel, Clerk of Court
By: s/Arthur Hill, deputy clerk